Date: Tue, 27 Apr 93 04:30:13 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #500

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 27 Apr 93 Volume 93 : Issue 500

Today's Topics:

2 meter SSB antenna opinions sought
Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 24 April
no-code defense (2 msgs)
QRP Mailing List?

What offsets are used for vhf-hi public service repeaters

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 25 Apr 1993 21:41:02 GMT

From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!nuts2u.enet.dec.com!little@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: 2 meter SSB antenna opinions sought

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm looking for opinions or experiences anyone has with the following antennas:

KLM 2M-13 LBA Cushcraft 13B2 Rutland FO-12-144

I have a Cushcraft 215WB that I want to replace with a more current design and slightly longer boom. I also have a Cushcraft 4218XL (not up) but I think that's longer than I want to try and put up.

73, Todd -----

Date: 26 Apr 93 23:30:23 GMT From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu

Subject: Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 24 April

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 114, 04/24/93 10.7 FLUX=128.8 90-AVG=131 SSN=174 BKI=3122 2222 BAI=007 BGND-XRAY=B3.8 FLU1=1.0E+06 FLU10=1.4E+04 PKI=3222 3322 PAI=008 BOU-DEV=025,008,019,013,016,018,014,010 DEV-AVG=015 NT SWF=00:000 XRAY-MAX = C1.3@ 1152UT XRAY-MIN= B3.3 @ 0047UT XRAY-AVG= B5.5 NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 1940UT NEUTN-MIN= -002% @ 2240UT NEUTN-AVG= -0.0% PCA-MAX= +0.2DB @ 2105UT PCA-MIN= -0.2DB @ 2345UT PCA-AVG=+0.0DBBOUTF-MIN=55371NT @ 1718UT BOUTF-AVG=55389NT BOUTF-MAX=55404NT @ 1217UT GOES7-MAX=P:+140NT@ 1715UT GOES7-MIN=N:-019NT@ 0756UT G7-AVG=+095,+039,+012 GOES6-MAX=P:+169NT@ 1615UT GOES6-MIN=N:-087NT@ 0231UT G6-AVG=+110,-010,-048 FLUXFCST=STD:130,135,135;SESC:130,135,135 BAI/PAI-FCST=015,015,010/015,015,010 KFCST=2225 4221 2224 4221 27DAY-AP=013,018 27DAY-KP=3233 2234 4552 1323 WARNINGS=*SWF ALERTS= !!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 23 APR 93 was 46.0.

The Full Kp Indices for 23 APR 93 are: 3- 3- 3- 1+ 3- 30 30

Date: Sat, 24 Apr 1993 15:55:19 GMT

From: valinor.mythical.com!n5ial!jim@uunet.uu.net

Subject: no-code defense To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <20APR93.19314607@nauvax.ucc.nau.edu> miked@nauvax.ucc.nau.edu
writes:

>I just wanted to defend myself since there seems to be a lot of flaming to >no-codes. I understand the importance of code and do plan on upgrading >as soon as I can. Not all of us are bad.

you don't need to defend yourself---the ``people'' flaming folks with the no-code license are, by far, the minority. they do *NOT* represent the opinion of the majority of Amateur Radio operators. there are some rather vocal anti-no-code types on this group, but hey, after the split of the group, they'll be limited to rec.radio.amateur.flame; -} ... so you shouldn't need to worry about hearing from them, even, after that. :-)

don't know how long you've been licensed (if you said it, I missed it),
so this may be a bit late...but either way, welcome to the hobby!
 --jim

PS: I don't remember who made the tongue-in-cheek suggestion about rec.radio.amateur.flame, but I can't take credit for it... so this to give credit to that person, whoever they are.

_ _

#include <std_disclaimer.h>

73 DE N5IAL (/4)

INTERNET: jim@n5ial.mythical.com | j.graham@ieee.org ICBM: 30.23N 86.32W AMATEUR RADIO: n5ial@w4zbb (Ft. Walton Beach, FL) AMTOR SELCAL: NIAL

E-mail me for information about KAMterm (host mode for Kantronics TNCs).

Date: 26 Apr 93 13:56:23 GMT

From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!nuts2u.enet.dec.com!little@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: no-code defense To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

"jim@n5ial.mythical.com (Jim Graham)" writes:

>>Rich, KD6GZW [yes, no-code, and no-particular-desire-to-learn-it-either]
>

>wait a minute.... I thought the no-code technician license was getting >a 1x3 call, just like the w/ code technician and general class folks. >doesn't a 2x3 call mean that you started out as a novice? and if so, >doesn't that imply that you passed the cw portion? I'm confused (not >unusual when talking about the no-code license...I was a general before >it even existed, and I've never really looked at the regs for it).

Whenever all call signs for a particular class have been given out, new licensees are issued call signs of the next "lower" class of license. In some call areas such as 6 land they have run out of 1x3 calls for generals and technicians, so both of those classes get 2x3 calls along with the novices.

73, Todd N9MWB

Date: 26 Apr 93 19:07:31 GMT

From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu

Subject: QRP Mailing List? To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I saw reference in another message to a QRP mailing list. I would like details on this, including an address to which I could send a subscription request.

Thanks in advance.

```
//Steve//
```

Date: 25 Apr 93 17:46:20 GMT

From: glasses.Princeton.EDU!chinatti@princeton.edu

Subject: What offsets are used for vhf-hi public service repeaters

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Apr25.161447.7188@sequent.com> washer@sequent.com (James Washer)
writes:
>

>Can anyone tell me what offsets are used on public service vhf-hi (154MHz) >repeaters? Is it always the same (like 2meter ham is now)?

Jim,

There is no specific offset for transmit/receive pairs for business/public satefy VHF-Hi repeaters. Your best bet would be to look in a scanner guide, and try to find a VHF-Hi frequency that is licenced to the same agency, but only for mobile/portable operation. In my experience, they are not always listed in the guides, though.

Steve

_ _ .

Steve Chinatti, EMTA, EECS student, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ internet:chinatti@phoenix.Princeton.EDU bitnet:chinatti@pucc.bitnet

```
Date: 26 Apr 93 12:24:19 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
darwin.sura.net!wvnvms.wvnet.edu!un027713@network.UCSD.EDU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
References <20APR93.19314607@nauvax.ucc.nau.edu>,
<1993Apr24.110011.23106@anomaly.sbs.com>,
<930425.005606.2i7.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>
Subject : Re: no-code defense
In article <930425.005606.2i7.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>, system@garlic.sbs.com
(Anthony S. Pelliccio) writes:
> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:
>> miked@nauvax.ucc.nau.edu writes:
>>
>>>I am currently a no-code (N7YIR). I plan to upgrade as soon as I am finishe
>>>with school. My wife and I are both full-time students and we have two kids
>>>so I do not have a lot of "extra" time to study code.
>> If you're so busy, how did you have time to study the Element 2 and 3A
>> question pools?
> Study? Who said anything about that. It's all rote memorization dude.
> Same as we did with our 4B's. :)
Not everybody who takes the test memorizes the questions. Some of us like to
actually understand the theory behind the questions, and, IMHO, was easier
than memorization.
Lighten up people.
> Tony
>
> ------
> -- Anthony S. Pelliccio, kd1nr/ae \ // Yes, you read it right, the \ //
> -- system @ garlic.sbs.com
                                // man who went from No-Code //
> -----// (Thhhppptt!) to Extra in //
> -- Flame Retardent Sysadmin
                               // exactly one year!
> ------
> -- This is a calm .sig! --
> ------
Jack Forester, Jr. N8XVA
```

West Virginia University Computing Services

Date: Sun, 25 Apr 1993 19:40:49 GMT

From: gsm001!gsm001.mendelson.com!gsmlrn@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Apr24.110011.23106@anomaly.sbs.com>, <RFM.93Apr24165314@urth.eng.sun.com>, <1993Apr25.140637.14242@anomaly.sbs.com> Subject : Re: no-code defense

In article <1993Apr25.140637.14242@anomaly.sbs.com> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>Several people in this area, KD1NR included, were able to pass their Element >1A with brief studying for several days (slightly or no more than the time >required to study the 3A pool...)

I've been trying since 1964. But what the heck, since February I've learned to send entire alphabet and can receive at almost 1 wpm.

If they had no-codes then I'd be an old fart. :-)

Now all I have to do is get that speed up. :-)

Geoff.

- -

Geoffrey S. Mendelson Passed Technician test 3/4/93 (215) 242-8712 (Ham Radio) General written 4/1 gsm@mendelson.com or uunet!gsm001!gsm

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #500 ***********